UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,245 | 5 12/07/2004 Takahiro Miyake | | 62532(70904) | 2894 |
| | 7590 06/12/200 NGELL PALMER & D | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 5587 | <i>7</i> 4 | ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L | | |
| BOSTON, MA | 02203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2627 | |
| | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Commons | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/517,245 | | MIYAKE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | JORGE L. O | RTIZ CRIADO | 2627 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply | nication appe | ears on the c | over sheet with the d | correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF | MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c | TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, Il apply and will excause the applica | COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[\ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 07 Dec | cember 200 | 7 | | | | | |
| , | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | ,— | | | | | | | | |
| ا ال | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the pract | ice under £x | c parte Quay | <i>l</i> e, 1900 C.D. 11, 40 | 03 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| • | □ Claim(s) 16-24 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or | election rea | uirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | • | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 Decembe</u> | <u>er 2007</u> is/are | e: a) <u>□</u> acc | epted or b)⊠ object | ed to by the Exan | niner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) | 4 5 6 | = | ate | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 18 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "P-V" is not defined in the claim, and further the term is an acronym, which could mean different things and or change in meaning overtime, hence it would be desirable to write out the actual words to which the acronym refers.

. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ichimura et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,826, 133.

Regarding claim 16 and 17, Ichimura et al. discloses a method of correcting a spherical aberration focus offset of an optical pickup, said method correcting a spherical aberration and a focus offset in an optical system when the pickup projects a collected beam onto a recording surface of an optical storage medium to retrieve recorded information by means of an intensity of reflection from the recording surface, said method being characterized in that it comprises: the step of recording a signal on the storage medium at a predetermined write power; the step of reproducing the recorded information from the reflection; the step of producing a first correction target in the presence of a predetermined second correction target and changing the first correction target, where the first correction target is either one of the focus offset and the spherical aberration, and the second correction target is the other one the optimal first correction target detection step of detecting an occurrence condition of the first correction target when the first correction target is a minimum; the step of producing the second correction target under an

occurrence condition of the minimum first correction target and changing a magnitude of the second correction target; and the optimal second correction target detection step of detecting an occurrence condition of the second correction target when the second correction target is a minimum, wherein the magnitude of the spherical aberration and the magnitude of the focus offset obtained in the first correction target detection step and the optimal second correction target detection step are used to correct the spherical aberration and the focus offset (refers to Figs. 6A 6B and Fig. 7; col. 7, line 65 to col. 8 line 36).

Claims 17 and 18 are draw to the chose alternative language provided in claim 16 of the correction target, respectively and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation.

Regarding claims, 19 and 20, Ichimura et al. discloses of the use of the maximize amplitude of the reproduced signal as well as of minimize jitter of the same (refer to Fig. 6B; col. 11 lines 9-14).

Regarding claim 22, claim 22 is drawn to the optical pickup that uses the method of claim 16 and is rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above, also refer to Fig. 8.

Regarding claim 23, Ichimura et al. further discloses the beam expander of a pair of lenses (28, 29) as shown in Fig. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent No. 6,826, 133.

Claims 21 and 24 provides for the use of error rate and the use of a liquid crystal panel

the examiner takes Official Notice since these features are well known in the art to be

equivalencies, as claimed, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set

forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO whose telephone number is (571)272-7624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri 10:00 am- 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627